RIGINAL

MTERVENTION



RYLEY CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE One North Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4417 2

RECEIVED

Telephone: 602/258-7701 Telecopier: 602/257-9582

2011 APR 28 P 2: 25

Michele L. Van Quathem – 019185

Attorneys for Verrado Community Association, Inc. CONTROL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

GARY PIERCE, Chairman SANDRA D. KENNEDY

PAUL NEWMAN BOB STUMP

BRENDA BURNS

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

APR 2 8 2011

DOCKETED BY

11

12

14

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER

COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A 13

DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT

FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES

IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED 15 THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY

ITS ANTHEM/AGUA FRIA 16

WASTEWATER DISTRICT, SUN CITY

WASTEWATER DISTRICT, AND SUN CITY WEST WASTEWATER DISTRICT

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17

Docket No. W-01303A-09-0343/ SW-01303A-09-0343

Verrado Community Association, Inc.'s Motion to Intervene

By this Motion, Verrado Community Association, Inc. ("Verrado") seeks to intervene pursuant to Commission Rule R14-3-105 in the above-referenced case. In support of this Motion, Verrado offers the following:

On January 6, 2011, the Commission issued Decision No. 72047 in this 1. docket. The Commission's Decision adopted an agreement made at the Open Meeting between Arizona-American Water Company (the "Company"), Anthem Community Council, the Residential Utility Consumer Officer ("RUCO"), and the Staff. As part of the Open Meeting agreement, this docket was left open for the purpose of considering deconsolidation of Arizona-American Water Company's Anthem and Agua Fria wastewater districts.

28 | | / / /

- 2. The proposed deconsolidation was not part of the Company's original application, and the affected customers have not yet been given adequate notice and an opportunity to be heard regarding the proposal.
- 3. The parties in this case already considered a variety of consolidation proposals. As was noted in Decision No. 72047 (see, for example, pages 67 and 77), a variety of factors and associated evidence should be examined in order to determine whether consolidation of districts is appropriate, including potential rate shock, future rate stability, economies of scale in administrative costs, and the potential for proliferation of rate cases and associated expenses, among other things. Examination of a deconsolidation proposal to isolate the rates for one or more of four separate wastewater service areas presents the same issues and need for evidence regarding the costs and benefits.
- 4. The Company's April 1, 2011 filing proposes a rate structure that would result in a phenomenal 139.7% increase in wastewater rates for customers in the new Aqua Fria Wastewater District, with many paying well over \$100 per month for sewer service. These numbers promise substantial rate shock for the affected customers.
- 5. Verrado is a customer of Arizona-American's Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, and has as members numerous residential and commercial customers who are directly impacted by the deconsolidation proposal.
- 6. Because of the early procedural status of this new phase of the case, and the scope of the proposal, admission of Verrado as an Intervenor and full participant in the proceedings is fair and will not unduly broaden the issues presented.

For all the reasons stated above, Verrado requests it be allowed to intervene in this matter and participate fully as a party.

1	DATED this 28th day of April, 2011.	
2	RY	YLEY CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE
3		1/11/14 = 11
4	Ву	Michele L. Van Quathem
5		Ryley Carlock & Applewhite, P.A. One North Central Avenue, Suite 1200
6		Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4417 <u>mvanquathem@rcalaw.com</u> Attorneys for DMB White Tank, LLC
7		Audineys for Divid white rank, LLC
8 9	ORIGINAL and 13 copies of the foregoing filed this 28th day of April, 2011, with:	
10	Docket Control	
11	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington	
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
13	COPY of the foregoing mailed this 28th day of April, 2011 to:	
14]	Maureen Scott
15	Steve Olea, Director Utilities Division	Robin Mitchell
16	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington St.	Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	1200 W. Washington St. Phoenix, Arizona 85007
18	Craig A. Marks	Daniel W. Pozefsky, Chief Counsel Residential Utility Consumer Office 1110 W. Washington St., Suite 220
19	Craig A. Marks, PLC 10645 N. Tatum Blvd., Suite 200-676	
20	Phoenix, Arizona 85028 Attorney for Arizona-American Water	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
21	Co.	
22	Greg Patterson Water Utility Association of Arizona	Joan S. Burke Law Office of Joan S. Burke
23	916 W. Adams, Suite 3 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	1650 N. First Ave. Phoenix, Arizona 85003
24		Thomas, Thizona 03003
25	Judith M. Dworkin Roxanne S. Gallagher	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
26	Sacks Tierney PA 4250 N. Drinkwater Blvd, Fourth Floor	P.O. Box 1448 Tubac, Arizona 85646-1448
27	Scottsdale, Arizona 85251-3693	

28

1		
2	Jeff Crockett	Bradley J. Herrema
3	Robert Metli Snell & Wilmer	Robert J. Saperstein Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP
4	400 E. Van Buren St. Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202	21 E. Carillo St. Santa Barbara, CA 83101
5	Larry Woods	W.R. Hansen 12302 W. Swallow Drive
6	Property Owners and Residents Assoc. 13815 E. Camino Del Sol	Sun City West, Arizona 85375
7	Sun City West, Arizona 85735-4409	
8	Andrew M. Miller, Town Attorney Town of Paradise Valley	Larry D. Woods 15141 W. Horseman Lane
9	6401 E. Lincoln Dr. Paradise Valley, Arizona 85253	Sun City West, Arizona 85375
10	Sun City Grand Community Assoc.	Marshall Magruder
11	19726 N. Remington Dr. Surprise, Arizona 85374	P.O. Box 1267 Tubac, Arizona 85646
12	Philip H. Cook	Thomas M. Broderick
13	10122 W. Signal Butte Circle Sun City, Arizona 85373	Arizona-American Water Company 2355 W. Pinnacle Peak Rd., Suite 300 Phoenix, Arizona, 85027
14		Phoenix, Arizona 85027
15 16	By Hai Ahroh	
17	Dy V Got A Got I	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		